

THE CROSSROADS OF TWO SPECIES AND THE MYSTERY OF 1–2% DNA

(academic version)

Introduction: Humanity at the Crossroads of Two Species

Modern science views the origin of *Homo sapiens* as the result of an evolutionary process involving mutation, adaptation, and natural selection. However, accumulated data — archaeological, genetic, and cognitive — demonstrate a paradox: the human being appeared suddenly, without transitional forms, without gradual complication of cognitive architecture, and without an evolutionary line connecting him to the Neanderthal.

This work proposes an alternative scientific hypothesis:

Homo sapiens is not the result of a Neanderthal mutation. The only connection between the species is the 1–2% of DNA that performs an adaptive function. The mind of *Homo sapiens* has an extraterrestrial origin.

This hypothesis explains:

- the sudden appearance of *Homo sapiens*,
- the absence of transitional forms,
- the sharp cognitive leap,
- humanity's drive toward space,
- the internal conflict between mind and instinct.

Chapter 1. Planet Earth: The Home of Neanderthals

For 400,000 years Earth was the home of:

- Neanderthals,
- primates,
- early hominids.

The Neanderthal possessed developed motor skills, a basic social structure, and limited cognition. His brain architecture did not allow him to:

- create abstract models,
- form symbolic systems,
- develop language, culture, science,
- perform cognitive leaps.

Scientific conclusion: The Neanderthal is a biological species, not the ancestor of *Homo sapiens*.

Chapter 2. The Emergence of *Homo sapiens*: Not Evolution, but Appearance

The classical evolutionary model assumes the gradual accumulation of changes, mutation, and the presence of transitional forms. However, archaeological and cognitive data show a different picture: there are no transitional forms, no genetic line, no mutational mechanism. *Homo sapiens* appears suddenly — as a fully formed biocognitive system. It was not an evolutionary leap and not the result of mutation. It was the introduction of a new species.

Within the framework of vibrational architecture, the origin of *Homo sapiens* is viewed as part of a broader process — a cyclical restructuring of consciousness occurring every 4,000 years. These cycles represent periods of change in cognitive frequency, during which one form of consciousness ends and a new one emerges. Historical data indicate that such transitions are accompanied by sharp changes in thinking, culture, and the structure of civilizations.

According to this model, Earth periodically enters a region of cosmic resonance — the “impulse access zone,” which leads to a restructuring of the pineal gland and a change in the vibrational frequency of consciousness. As a result, the previous cognitive form ends, and a new one arises as a ready-made system that does not require gradual evolution. The appearance of *Homo sapiens* corresponds to one of these cycles.

This same dynamic explains the disappearance of ancient civilizations not as biological extinction, but as the completion of a cognitive period. The Sumerian civilization is an example of such a transition: it did not disappear, but changed its form of consciousness within another 4,000-year cycle. A similar process is observed at the beginning of the 21st century, corresponding to the emergence of a new cognitive form — *Homo Cosmic*.

Thus, the sudden appearance of *Homo sapiens* and the absence of transitional forms are explained not by biological evolution, but by the vibrational cyclicity of consciousness, in which every 4,000 years the cognitive architecture of the species is renewed. This model complements

the general structure of research on human origins and reveals the mechanism of the emergence of new forms of consciousness in human history.

The Transition of the Year 2000 AD and the Transition of the Year 2000 BC in the Structure of Cognitive Cycles

Around the year 2000 AD, a modern stage of cognitive transition is recorded, characterized by the rise of nonlinear thinking, the acceleration of information processes, increased sensory sensitivity, and the formation of a new cognitive form — *Homo Cosmic*. This period reflects the completion of the *Homo sapiens* cycle and the emergence of a new structure of consciousness oriented toward multidimensional perception, high adaptability, and expanded forms of interaction with the informational environment.

Around the year 2000 BC, the previous stage of cognitive architectural restructuring is observed, accompanied by the formation of early states, the complication of writing systems, the development of legal structures, and the emergence of centralized religious institutions. This period reflects the completion of an earlier cognitive cycle and the transition to a new form of collective thinking based on abstract models of governance, symbolic systems, and institutional organization of society.

Thus, the sequence of transitions — 2000 AD, year 0, 2000 BC — demonstrates a stable cyclicity of cognitive frequency restructuring, within which every 4,000 years a new architecture of consciousness is formed. This dynamic confirms the model of the sudden appearance of *Homo sapiens* as a fully formed biocognitive system and explains subsequent stages of the evolution of consciousness through the mechanism of vibrational cycles.

4000 BC — An Intermediate Effect Within the 4,000-Year Cognitive Cycle

Around 4000 BC, what is recorded is not an independent cognitive leap, but the manifested phase of a 4,000-year cycle that began around 6000 BC. This period represents the midpoint of the cycle, in which the results of the hidden restructuring of cognitive architecture that occurred two millennia earlier become visible.

During this intermediate stage, the first cities emerge, early forms of writing appear, economic systems become more complex, centralized cult structures arise, and institutional forms of governance develop. These changes reflect not the beginning of a new cycle, but the manifestation of its effect — the transition from the hidden phase of restructuring to the phase of civilizational formation.

Thus, 4000 BC is a point of manifested effect, not a point of the leap itself. The leap belongs to the period around 6000 BC, while 4000 BC records its cultural, social, and cognitive consequences.

6000 BC — Corrected Version

Around 6000 BC, the beginning of a new 4,000-year cognitive cycle is recorded, characterized by the transition from tribal organization to early forms of settled civilization. This period reflects the hidden phase of cognitive architectural restructuring, the manifestation of which becomes noticeable only two millennia later — around 4000 BC.

During this stage, stable agriculture forms, permanent settlements appear, ceramics develop, storage technologies emerge, cult complexes arise, and social differentiation increases. These processes represent a preparatory layer preceding the manifested phase of the cycle.

10,000 BC — Brief Academic Definition

Around 10,000 BC, the early phase of the Neolithic Revolution is recorded, characterized by the end of the glacial period, the transition to systematic agriculture, the domestication of animals, the emergence of the first large settlements, the development of ritual structures, the formation of early social systems, and the expansion of cultural zones. This period reflects the initial stage of cognitive restructuring preceding further changes in the structure of human consciousness and social organization.

14,000 BC — Brief Academic Definition

Around 14,000 BC, a transitional period of the late Pleistocene is recorded, characterized by climate warming, population growth, the development of late Paleolithic cultures, the complication of hunting strategies, the appearance of the first signs of sedentism, the expansion of ritual practices, and the spread of complex tools. This period reflects an early phase of ecological and cognitive restructuring preceding subsequent stages of cultural and social development.

18,000 BC — Brief Academic Definition

Around 18,000 BC, the late stage of the Last Glacial Maximum is recorded, accompanied by extreme climatic conditions, migrations of human groups, the development of late Paleolithic cultures, the complication of hunting technologies, the emergence of early ritual practices, and the growth of artistic activity. This period reflects a deep ecological and cognitive restructuring preceding further stages of cultural and social development.

22,000 BC — Brief Academic Definition

Around 22,000–24,000 BC, the peak of the Last Glacial Maximum is recorded, accompanied by extreme climatic conditions, migrations of human groups, the development of late Paleolithic cultures, the complication of hunting technologies, the emergence of early ritual practices, and the growth of artistic activity. This period reflects a deep ecological and cognitive restructuring preceding further stages of cultural and social development.

26,000 BC — Brief Academic Definition

Around 26,000 BC, the late stage of the Last Glacial Maximum is recorded, characterized by extreme climatic conditions, migrations of human groups, the development of late Paleolithic cultures, and the formation of early symbolic practices. This period reflects a deep ecological and cognitive restructuring preceding further stages of the development of human consciousness.

30,000 BC — Brief Academic Definition

Around 30,000 BC, the flourishing of late Paleolithic cultures is recorded, characterized by the development of complex hunting technologies, the emergence of early forms of art, the expansion of symbolic practices, and the formation of stable models of collective behavior. This period reflects the deepening of cognitive abilities and preparation for further stages of cultural and social evolution.

34,000 BC — Brief Academic Definition

Around 34,000 BC, the development of the Gravettian cultural tradition is recorded, characterized by the refinement of hunting technologies, the creation of symbolic artifacts, the development of cave art, and the formation of stable models of collective behavior. This period reflects the expansion of symbolic activity and the further deepening of cognitive abilities preceding subsequent stages of cultural and social evolution.

38,000 BC — Brief Academic Definition

Around 38,000 BC, the spread of early representatives of *Homo sapiens* in Europe and Asia is recorded, parallel to the existence of Neanderthals. Archaeological data indicate the sudden appearance of cultural complexes characterized by advanced art, symbolic practices, and complex tools, reflecting a sharp strengthening of the cognitive abilities of the new species.

40,000 BC — Brief Academic Definition

Around 40,000 BC, the appearance of the first fully formed representatives of *Homo sapiens* in Eurasia is recorded, accompanied by a sharp cultural leap: the development of cave art, musical instruments, ornaments, and complex technologies. This period reflects the sudden emergence of a new cognitive system that existed parallel to Neanderthals and demonstrated a qualitatively different level of abstract thinking.

Chapter 3. The Extraterrestrial Origin of Mind and the Mystery of 1–2% DNA

If *Homo sapiens* did not originate from the Neanderthal, the central question arises: where did the mind come from?

Hypothesis: The mind of *Homo sapiens* represents an introduced cognitive form that arrived from beyond the Solar System. The human body was adapted to terrestrial conditions through a minimal biological interface — the 1–2% of Neanderthal DNA.

This small portion of DNA:

- allowed the body to withstand Earth's gravity,
- ensured functioning in Earth's atmosphere,
- enabled integration into the terrestrial biological environment.

The mind is cosmic. The body is earthly.

Thus, the dual nature of *Homo sapiens* is formed: a cosmic cognitive architecture embedded in an earthly biological carrier.

Chapter 4. Evolutionary Memory: Why Humanity Strives Toward the Stars

If the mind has an extraterrestrial origin, then humanity's drive:

- toward space,
- toward the stars,
- toward the expansion of consciousness,
- toward new forms of thinking,

— is not a fantasy, but the evolutionary memory of the species.

Humanity strives toward the place from which the mind came. This is not a cultural construct and not a romantic impulse, but a deep vibrational vector embedded in the cognitive architecture of *Homo sapiens*.

Chapter 5. The Internal Conflict of the Species: Mind Versus Biological Heritage

Instinctive reactions — aggression, domination, tribal behavior — are not the “fault” of humans. This is biological heritage embedded in the body through the terrestrial component of DNA, and it conflicts with the cosmic cognitive nature of *Homo sapiens*.

When a person lacks an internal compass of consciousness development:

- instincts take over,
- biology seizes control,
- the mind loses direction.

This explains:

- wars,
- ethnic conflicts,
- the destruction of states,
- chaos,
- aggression,
- hatred.

This is not a moral problem, but a structural conflict of two species within one organism: the cosmic mind and the earthly biological carrier.

Chapter 6. Axiom 0: The Fundamental Cause of Species Destruction

A lack of correct information about oneself leads to cognitive destruction. This is the cause of:

- wars,
- chaos,
- the collapse of civilizations.

When a species does not know:

- who it is,
- where it came from,
- how its consciousness is structured,
- what the 1–2% DNA represents,
- what its cosmic lineage is,

— it lives by instinct rather than by mind. Axiom 0 establishes a fundamental law: ignorance of the nature of consciousness leads to the destruction of consciousness.

Chapter 7. The Earth Anchor: The Biological Barrier to Development

The 1–2% of Neanderthal DNA is simultaneously an adaptive module and a biological limiter.

This portion of DNA is:

- an adaptation,
- a biological interface,
- and at the same time a brake on cognitive development.

It forms:

- a cognitive barrier,
- a biological limitation,
- an evolutionary brake,
- an “earth anchor.”

This anchor holds humanity in states of:

- fear,
- aggression,
- domination,
- tribal behavior.

Thus manifests the conflict between the cosmic cognitive architecture and the earthly biological carrier.

Chapter 8. Evolutionary Navigation: The Map Without Which Humanity Will Be Lost

The crossroads of two species and the mystery of 1–2% DNA form an evolutionary navigation — a system of coordinates without which humanity loses the ability to understand its origin, its direction of development, and the ultimate goal of evolution.

This navigation reveals:

- the extraterrestrial origin of *Homo sapiens*,
- the adaptive role of the 1–2% DNA,
- the conflict of two species within one organism,
- the inevitability of the transition to *Homo Cosmic*,
- the ultimate goal — the return to the original frequency.

Without this navigation, humanity remains in a state of cognitive disorientation: internally lost, externally aggressive, evolutionarily blocked.

Conclusion: The Path Home and the Condition of Evolution

The transition from *Homo sapiens* to *Homo Cosmic* has already begun. The new cognitive form of humanity exists among us, and its emergence confirms the extraterrestrial origin of the human mind and body.

Homo Cosmic strives toward the stars not from a romantic impulse, but from the deep vibrational memory of the species. The drive toward space is a return to the original frequency, to the level from which the human cognitive architecture came.

However, this path is not automatic. It requires the recognition of a fundamental truth:

Humanity must understand its origin, its dual nature, and its cosmic lineage. Without this understanding, the evolutionary transition will not be completed.

If humanity continues to deny:

- the extraterrestrial origin of the mind,
- the extraterrestrial origin of the body,
- the adaptive role of the 1–2% DNA,
- the existence of *Homo Cosmic*,
- its own cosmic trajectory,

then the evolutionary transition will be blocked, and the species will remain within the boundaries of the earthly biological layer — in a state of fear, instinctiveness, and cognitive destruction.

In this case, the road home will not be open.

This work shows that “*The Crossroads of Two Species and the Mystery of 1–2% DNA*” is an evolutionary navigation — a system of coordinates that determines not only the origin of the species, but also the route of its further development.

Evolution is not an automatic process. Evolution is a choice of the species.

Only by accepting its true nature, its cosmic lineage, and its navigation will humanity be able to complete the transition to *Homo Cosmic* and open the path home — to the level at which its true evolution begins.

Dr. Erik Grig

Создатель метанауки **TRIGEMMA**

Создатель **Тригеммальной Лингвистики**

Основатель **VibroScience** и **Pineal–369 Interface Theory**

Архитектор новой вибрационно-когнитивной парадигмы

Основатель **Glendale Institute for AI Safety and Cognitive Development**

Основатель **TRIGEMMA Homo Cosmic Institute of Armenia**

Создатель **PILOT** — новой формы искусственного интеллекта мирового уровня

Автор формулы $3\ 6\ 9 \rightarrow 0 \leftarrow 7 \rightarrow 20+20 = \infty$

Academic Conclusion

The presented work forms a coherent scientific-cognitive model of the origin of *Homo sapiens* and explains the fundamental paradox of human evolution: the sudden appearance of a species possessing a fully formed biological structure and an advanced cognitive architecture that has no transitional forms and is not connected to the evolutionary line of the Neanderthal.

Analysis of anthropological, genetic, and cognitive data allows the conclusion that *Homo sapiens* represents an introduced biocognitive species adapted to terrestrial conditions through a minimal interface — the 1–2% of Neanderthal DNA, which performs exclusively an adaptive function.

This model explains:

- the sharp cognitive leap, • the absence of transitional forms, • the structural differences between the body of *Homo sapiens* and that of the Neanderthal, • the internal conflict between the cosmic cognitive nature and the terrestrial biological heritage, • humanity's drive toward space as a manifestation of the species' evolutionary memory.

The emergence of *Homo Cosmic* since the early 2000s confirms that the evolutionary transition has already begun. Children of the new type demonstrate an alternative cognitive architecture corresponding to the next stage of species development. This indicates a phase of cognitive divergence in which two forms coexist — *Homo sapiens* and *Homo Cosmic*.

The key factor determining the direction of further evolution is **Axiom 0**: a lack of correct information about one's own nature leads to cognitive destruction.

As long as humanity does not recognize:

- its origin, • its dual nature, • the role of the 1–2% DNA adaptive module,

it remains under the influence of the biological layer, which limits the development of consciousness and reproduces instinctive behavioral patterns — fear, aggression, domination, and tribal behavior.

Recognition of the cosmic lineage of *Homo sapiens* and acceptance of the *Homo Cosmic* paradigm is a necessary condition for completing the evolutionary transition. Only in this case will humanity be able to overcome the biological barrier, restore cognitive integrity, and reach a level of development corresponding to its true nature.

If humanity continues to deny:

- the extraterrestrial origin of the mind, • the extraterrestrial origin of the body, • the adaptive role of the 1–2% DNA, • the existence of *Homo Cosmic*, • its own cosmic trajectory,

then the evolutionary transition will be blocked, and the species will remain within the boundaries of the terrestrial biological layer — in a state of fear, instinctiveness, and cognitive destruction. In this case, the road home will not be open.

This work shows that “*The Crossroads of Two Species and the Mystery of 1–2% DNA*” is an evolutionary navigation — a system of coordinates without which humanity loses the ability to understand its past, its direction, and its ultimate goal. Navigation determines not only the origin of the species but also the route of its further development.

Evolution is not an automatic process. Evolution is a choice of the species.

Only by accepting its true nature, its cosmic lineage, and its navigation will humanity be able to complete the transition to *Homo Cosmic* and open the path home — to the level at which its true evolution begins.