

PILOT

Educational Cognitive Safety and Double Attestation System

Version 1.0

Conceptual Architecture Document

1. What Is PILOT

PILOT is an educational cognitive safety system designed for the era of Artificial Intelligence.

PILOT does not replace the existing educational system.

PILOT does not replace teachers.

PILOT does not replace schools.

PILOT does not replace parents.

PILOT acts as an additional educational layer that helps students use Artificial Intelligence in a structured, transparent, and educationally responsible way.

The main purpose of PILOT is to make sure that Artificial Intelligence supports the development of human understanding instead of replacing the student's thinking process.

In ordinary interaction with Artificial Intelligence, a student may ask a question and immediately receive a final answer.

In the PILOT system, the student does not receive the final answer first.

Instead, PILOT transforms the question into a guided educational pathway.

This pathway includes step-by-step explanations, control questions, student responses, time tracking, teacher review, and long-term cognitive history.

The central principle of PILOT is:

Artificial Intelligence gives answers.

PILOT teaches the path to understanding.

The goal of PILOT is not only to help students complete assignments.

The goal is to preserve and develop independent thinking, reasoning, reflection, and academic integrity in the age of Artificial Intelligence.

PILOT creates a new educational layer between the student and Artificial Intelligence.

This layer protects the student from passive copying and guides the student toward active understanding.

PILOT is designed for schools, colleges, universities, educational institutions, and future learning environments that want to use Artificial Intelligence safely and responsibly.

2. The Problem PILOT Solves

Traditional education usually evaluates the final result.

A student submits homework, takes a test, gives an oral answer, or receives a grade.

This system can show what result was submitted, but it often cannot fully show how the student reached that result.

Artificial Intelligence has created a new educational challenge.

A student can now receive complete answers, essays, explanations, formulas, or solutions within seconds.

This creates a serious question for modern education:

Did the student actually understand the material, or did the student only receive and submit a ready-made answer?

This question is especially important because high grades may no longer always reflect deep understanding.

A student may receive excellent results while demonstrating only partial independent knowledge.

Parents may see high grades and believe that the child is doing very well.

Teachers may see completed assignments.

Schools may see strong academic performance.

However, the actual learning process may remain invisible.

The educational system may not always know:

what the student truly understands;

which part of the answer came from the student;

which part was generated by Artificial Intelligence;

how much independent reasoning was involved;

whether the student can explain the answer in their own words;

whether the student can solve a similar problem without direct AI assistance.

PILOT was created to make this hidden process visible.

PILOT does not accuse students of using Artificial Intelligence.

PILOT does not prohibit Artificial Intelligence.

PILOT does not punish a student for needing help.

Instead, PILOT changes the form of interaction with Artificial Intelligence.

The student is guided through the path of understanding.

The system records the student's learning process.

The teacher receives evidence of how the student worked.

Parents receive a transparent view of their child's educational development.

The school receives a clearer and more balanced understanding of student progress.

3. Core Principle of PILOT

The core principle of PILOT is simple:

Artificial Intelligence gives answers.

PILOT teaches the path to understanding.

This means that PILOT does not treat the final answer as the most important part of learning.

The final answer is important, but it is not enough.

A student may receive the correct answer without understanding the logic behind it.

A student may memorize an answer without developing reasoning.

A student may copy an answer without building knowledge.

PILOT protects the learning process by requiring the student to move through educational steps.

These steps may include:

basic concepts;

definitions;

logical relationships;

examples;

control questions;

student responses;

final explanation in the student's own words.

The student should not only see what the correct answer is.

The student should understand why the answer is correct.

This is the difference between passive answer consumption and active learning.

PILOT exists to preserve active learning.

The purpose is not to make learning more difficult.

The purpose is to make learning real.

When a student works through PILOT, the system encourages the student to think, respond, reflect, and explain.

This creates a digital record of understanding.

The teacher can review this record.

Parents can understand the development of their child.

The school can see more than a grade.

The system can preserve the student's educational journey from Grade 1 to graduation.

4. How PILOT Works

The PILOT learning process follows a clear sequence.

Step 1.

The student submits a question to PILOT.

Example:

What is the Pythagorean Theorem?

Step 2.

PILOT receives the question.

The student does not communicate directly with Artificial Intelligence in an uncontrolled way.

PILOT acts as the educational layer between the student and Artificial Intelligence.

Step 3.

PILOT sends the question to Artificial Intelligence with strict educational instructions.

These instructions tell the AI not to give the final answer immediately.

Instead, the AI must return an educational pathway.

Step 4.

Artificial Intelligence returns a structured learning path.

This path may include:

subject;

topic;

learning steps;

short explanations;

control questions;

final conclusion;

final reflection.

Step 5.

PILOT shows the student one step at a time.

The student does not simply receive the final answer at the beginning.

The student must interact with the learning pathway.

Step 6.

The student answers each control question.

PILOT records each answer.

PILOT also records the time spent on each step.

Step 7.

PILOT measures the learning process.

It records:

completed steps;

skipped steps;

response time;

student answers;

final explanation;

level of pathway completion.

Step 8.

After the pathway is completed, PILOT generates a teacher report.

This report includes the student's answers, timing, completion rate, and learning-process indicators.

Step 9.

The teacher reviews the report.

The teacher may confirm or not confirm the student's knowledge.

Step 10.

PILOT compares the learning-process result with the teacher's educational judgment.

This creates Double Attestation.

Step 11.

The final result is saved in the student's cognitive profile.

This profile becomes part of the long-term educational history of the student.

5. Double Attestation

Double Attestation is one of the most important components of PILOT.

In traditional education, the student's result is usually evaluated through one main channel:

student performance;

teacher evaluation;

final grade.

PILOT adds a second independent educational channel.

The first channel is the teacher.

The second channel is PILOT.

The teacher evaluates academic knowledge.

PILOT evaluates the learning process.

These two evaluations are not the same.

They serve different purposes.

Teacher Assessment evaluates:

knowledge;

understanding;

oral performance;

written performance;

classroom performance;

academic standards;

curriculum expectations.

PILOT Assessment evaluates:

learning path completion;

student participation;

response history;

independent learning behavior;

time spent;

consistency of learning activity;

evidence of step-by-step understanding.

The purpose of Double Attestation is not to create conflict between the teacher and the system.

The purpose is to create a more transparent and balanced educational picture.

When the teacher and PILOT results support each other, confidence in the evaluation increases.

When the teacher and PILOT results differ, the system recommends additional review.

This helps reduce the likelihood of incomplete evaluation.

It also helps identify possible overestimation or underestimation.

PILOT does not declare that the teacher is wrong.

PILOT does not declare that the student is wrong.

PILOT provides additional evidence.

The final academic authority remains with the teacher and the educational institution.

6. Purpose of Double Attestation

The purpose of Double Attestation is to protect fairness, transparency, and educational trust.

A single evaluation method may not always show the complete picture.

A teacher may see the final answer.

PILOT may show the path by which the student reached that answer.

A parent may see a grade.

PILOT may show the student's long-term development behind that grade.

A student may believe they understand the material.

PILOT may show which steps were clear and which steps require additional support.

Double Attestation helps distinguish between:

answer and understanding;

performance and development;

result and process;

grade and learning history.

There are several possible outcomes.

Outcome 1.

The teacher confirms the student's knowledge.

PILOT also shows strong pathway completion.

Result:

Knowledge is confirmed by both systems.

This means that the student's academic performance and learning-process data support each other.

Outcome 2.

The teacher gives a strong evaluation.

PILOT shows weak pathway completion.

Result:

Possible overestimation.

Additional verification is recommended.

This does not mean the student is dishonest.

It only means that the final result may be stronger than the documented learning process.

Outcome 3.

The teacher does not confirm strong knowledge.

PILOT shows strong pathway completion.

Result:

Possible underestimation.

Additional review is recommended.

This means that the student may have shown stronger understanding during the PILOT learning process than was visible through traditional evaluation.

Outcome 4.

The teacher does not confirm knowledge.

PILOT also shows weak pathway completion.

Result:

Additional learning is recommended.

In all cases, PILOT does not replace human educational judgment.

It strengthens it by adding documented learning evidence.

7. Student Cognitive Profile

Each student receives an individual cognitive profile.

This profile begins when the student enters the educational system.

In a full implementation, the profile may begin in Grade 1 and continue until graduation.

The Student Cognitive Profile is not a simple gradebook.

It is a long-term record of learning development.

It stores how the student learns over time.

The profile may include:

student identification;

school history;

grade-level history;

subject history;

topic history;

questions submitted;

learning pathways completed;

student responses;

time spent learning;

PILOT evaluations;

teacher evaluations;

teacher confirmations;

double-attestation results;

possible overestimation indicators;

possible underestimation indicators;

strengths;

areas requiring support;

development trends.

This profile allows parents, teachers, and schools to see the student's educational development across months and years.

Traditional report cards show final grades.

The Student Cognitive Profile shows the development of understanding.

For example, a student may receive a grade in mathematics.

But PILOT can also show:

which mathematical topics improved;

which topics remained difficult;

how many learning sessions were completed;

how often the student explained ideas independently;

how teacher evaluations compared with PILOT pathway results;

whether progress became stronger over time.

The Student Cognitive Profile becomes a transparent record of academic and cognitive growth.

It helps the school understand the student.

It helps the teacher support the student.

It helps parents see the real educational journey of their child.

It helps the student understand their own progress.

8. Long-Term Educational History

Traditional educational systems usually store grades.

PILOT stores learning history.

This is a fundamental difference.

A traditional report card may show:

Mathematics: 8/10

Science: 7/10

History: 9/10

This shows results.

But it does not show development.

It does not show how the student reached those results.

It does not show which subjects improved.

It does not show where the student struggled.

It does not show whether Artificial Intelligence was used passively or educationally.

PILOT creates a long-term educational timeline.

This timeline may begin in Grade 1 and continue until graduation.

It may include:

yearly learning progress;

subject-by-subject development;

topic-by-topic history;

teacher confirmations;

PILOT pathway scores;
double-attestation history;
student strengths;
student challenges;
learning growth patterns.

Example:

Student: John Smith

School Years: 2028–2040

Subject: Mathematics

Average PILOT Pathway Completion: 92%

Average Teacher Evaluation: 85%

Strong Areas:

Geometry

Logic

Problem Solving

Improvement Areas:

Fractions

Algebra

Total Learning Sessions: 1,874

Teacher Confirmations: 1,796

This type of record shows more than a grade.

It shows how the student developed.

This is why PILOT does not simply create better reporting.

It creates a new educational memory.

This educational memory can help teachers, parents, schools, and eventually higher education institutions understand the student more deeply.

9. Parent Portal

The Parent Portal is one of the most important components of PILOT.

Parents should not be limited to seeing only final grades.

Parents should be able to understand the educational development of their child.

Through PILOT, parents may access the educational history of their own child within the permissions defined by the school.

Parents may view:

questions submitted by the student;

learning pathways completed;

student responses;

time spent on learning tasks;

PILOT evaluations;

teacher evaluations;

teacher confirmations;

double-attestation outcomes;

subject progress;

topic progress;

yearly development trends;

strengths;

areas requiring support.

Parents cannot modify educational records.

Parents cannot change teacher evaluations.

Parents cannot change PILOT results.

Parents observe the data.

This protects the integrity of the system.

The purpose of parent access is not to pressure teachers.

The purpose is to give parents a transparent view of their child's educational development.

This helps reduce misunderstanding.

If a parent sees only a grade, the parent may not understand the reason behind it.

If the parent sees the learning pathway, student responses, teacher confirmation, and PILOT evaluation, the educational picture becomes clearer.

This creates trust between parents and schools.

It also helps parents support their children more effectively at home.

A parent can see not only whether the child received a high or low score, but where the child needs help and where the child is growing.

10. Teacher Dashboard

The Teacher Dashboard is designed to support teachers, not replace them.

PILOT is not designed to judge teachers.

PILOT is designed to provide teachers with additional evidence about the student's learning process.

The teacher remains the final authority regarding academic confirmation and certification.

Through the Teacher Dashboard, teachers may review:

student learning reports;

completed learning pathways;

student responses;

step-by-step progress;

completion rates;

time spent by the student;

PILOT evaluations;

possible overestimation signals;

possible underestimation signals;

double-attestation outcomes;

parent-accessible summaries;

long-term development trends.

The dashboard helps the teacher see the difference between a completed assignment and demonstrated understanding.

A student may submit a correct answer.

But the Teacher Dashboard can show whether the student completed the pathway of understanding.

It can show whether the student answered intermediate questions.

It can show whether the student explained the conclusion in their own words.

It can show whether the student moved too quickly through the pathway.

It can show whether the student skipped important steps.

This information gives teachers a clearer view of the learning process.

It also protects teachers.

When parents question a grade, the teacher can refer to the documented learning history.

The discussion becomes less emotional and more evidence-based.

Instead of asking only:

Why did my child receive this grade?

The discussion becomes:

What does the learning history show?

What did the student complete?

Where did the student struggle?

What did the teacher confirm?

What did PILOT record?

This creates a more transparent and fair educational environment.

11. School Database and Local Storage

Each school using PILOT should have a dedicated local data environment.

The purpose of this local environment is to store the educational history of the students in a secure, organized, and school-controlled structure.

PILOT is designed so that each school can maintain its own student data.

This means that the school remains responsible for its own educational records.

A practical first implementation may use a dedicated mini-PC or local school server.

For example:

Mini-PC

2TB storage

Local database

Secure internet connection

Connection to Artificial Intelligence through API

Connection to the school's Student Information System, when available

The local school database may store:

student profiles;

learning sessions;

teacher reports;

parent-view summaries;

double-attestation records;

subject history;

topic history;

yearly development history;

graduation cognitive records.

The purpose of this structure is not only to store grades.

The purpose is to store the educational development of each student over time.

PILOT creates a separate educational history for every student.

Each student has an individual digital profile.

Each profile may contain the student's learning activity from Grade 1 until graduation.

This allows the school to preserve a long-term educational record that is much more detailed than a traditional report card.

A traditional report card may show only final grades.

The PILOT database can show:

how the student learned;

which subjects improved;

which topics were difficult;

how often the teacher confirmed knowledge;

how PILOT evaluated the learning process;

where overestimation or underestimation was possible;

how the student developed across years.

This creates a structured educational memory for the school.

The school database becomes the foundation for the Student Cognitive Profile, Parent Portal, Teacher Dashboard, and Graduation Cognitive Passport.

12. Student Information System Integration

PILOT is designed to supplement existing educational systems, not replace them.

Most schools already use some form of Student Information System.

Such systems may include:

student registration;

attendance records;

grade books;

course enrollment;

class schedules;

teacher assignments;

academic reports.

PILOT does not remove the need for these systems.

PILOT adds a new educational layer that focuses on the learning process and cognitive development.

The Student Information System may continue to store official school data.

PILOT may store:

learning pathways;

student responses;

PILOT evaluations;

teacher confirmations;

double-attestation outcomes;

cognitive development history.

The two systems should work together.

The Student Information System answers administrative questions.

PILOT answers educational-development questions.

For example, the Student Information System may show:

Student name

Grade level

Class

Teacher

Final grade

PILOT may show:

How the student reached the result

What learning pathway was completed

How many steps were finished

How the student answered

How much time was spent

Whether the teacher confirmed the knowledge

Whether the result was supported by double attestation

This means that PILOT does not compete with existing school technology.

PILOT completes it.

The school's current system records educational administration.

PILOT records educational understanding.

Together, the two systems create a more complete educational environment.

13. Cognitive Safety

PILOT is a Cognitive Safety System.

Cognitive Safety means protecting the student's ability to think independently while using Artificial Intelligence.

Artificial Intelligence can be extremely useful in education.

It can explain topics.

It can provide examples.

It can help students review material.

It can support teachers.

It can assist parents.

However, if Artificial Intelligence gives only ready-made answers, students may gradually stop developing the path of reasoning.

This is the main educational risk.

The problem is not that Artificial Intelligence gives information.

The problem is that a student may receive the final result without developing understanding.

PILOT protects against this risk.

PILOT changes the interaction model.

Instead of:

question → AI answer → copy result

PILOT creates:

question → learning pathway → student response → teacher review → confirmed understanding

This protects the student from passive answer consumption.

PILOT encourages:

reasoning;

analysis;

reflection;

step-by-step learning;

independent explanation;

active participation.

PILOT discourages:

blind copying;

direct answer dependency;

unverified AI use;

artificial academic performance;

loss of independent thinking.

Cognitive Safety does not mean rejecting Artificial Intelligence.

Cognitive Safety means using Artificial Intelligence in a way that strengthens the student.

The goal is not to protect students from technology.

The goal is to protect the student's ability to think while using technology.

14. Graduation Cognitive Passport

Upon graduation, the student should receive not only traditional academic records but also a Cognitive Passport.

The Cognitive Passport is a long-term educational record showing how the student developed over time.

It is not simply a list of grades.

It is a documented history of learning, growth, understanding, and cognitive development.

The Cognitive Passport may include:

academic history;

learning-path history;

subject development history;

topic development history;

teacher verification history;

double-attestation history;

PILOT evaluation history;

strength development areas;

improvement areas;

longitudinal learning statistics;

cognitive growth trends.

The purpose of the Cognitive Passport is to show not only what the student achieved, but how the student developed.

This is important because two students may receive similar grades but have very different learning histories.

One student may have developed gradually through consistent effort.

Another student may have relied heavily on ready-made answers.

A traditional report card may not clearly show this difference.

PILOT can.

The Cognitive Passport creates a more transparent educational biography.

It can show:

how the student's reasoning improved;

how independent learning developed;

how teacher confirmations accumulated;

where difficulties appeared;

how those difficulties were addressed;

whether the student's learning became stronger over time.

This type of record may be valuable for:

students;

parents;

teachers;

schools;

colleges;

universities;

future educational institutions.

The Cognitive Passport represents one of the most important long-term outcomes of PILOT.

It transforms education from a record of results into a record of development.

15. Mission Statement

The mission of PILOT is to preserve and develop human understanding in the age of Artificial Intelligence.

PILOT does not replace education.

PILOT does not replace teachers.

PILOT does not replace parents.

PILOT does not replace Artificial Intelligence.

PILOT connects students, teachers, parents, schools, and Artificial Intelligence within a transparent educational ecosystem.

The purpose of PILOT is to make Artificial Intelligence serve human cognitive development.

Artificial Intelligence should not replace the student's thinking process.

Artificial Intelligence should help the student build stronger thinking.

PILOT exists to make this possible.

The system is built on several core principles.

First:

The student should remain an active participant in learning.

Second:

The teacher should remain the professional authority in education.

Third:

Parents should have access to a transparent view of their child's development.

Fourth:

The school should have a structured record of the learning process.

Fifth:

Artificial Intelligence should support understanding, not replace it.

The mission of PILOT can be expressed in one central formula:

Artificial Intelligence gives answers.

PILOT teaches the path to understanding.

This mission is educational, technological, and human-centered.

The future of education should not be a future where students simply receive answers.

It should be a future where students learn how to understand, reason, explain, and grow.

PILOT is designed for that future.

16. Educational Transparency

One of the fundamental principles of PILOT is structured educational transparency.

Traditional educational systems often provide a limited summary of student performance.

In most cases, parents and administrators see:

final grades;

semester grades;

annual grades;

test scores;

teacher comments.

These results are important.

However, they often do not show the full learning process.

They may not show:

how the student worked;

how much time was spent;

which steps were completed;

which concepts were difficult;

where the student improved;

where the student needed support;

how the teacher confirmed the knowledge;

how the student's development changed over time.

PILOT records the educational journey itself.

Every learning session can be stored.

Every learning pathway can be documented.

Every teacher confirmation can be preserved.

Every double-attestation result can become part of the student's educational record.

This does not mean that every person sees everything.

Access must be controlled by role and permission.

A parent should see only the educational history of their own child.

A teacher should see the students assigned to them.

A school administrator should see school-level educational information according to school policy.

PILOT transparency is not surveillance.

It is structured educational clarity.

The purpose is to help students, parents, teachers, and schools understand the learning process more accurately.

Transparency creates trust.

Trust creates cooperation.

Cooperation creates better education.

17. Parent Educational Access

Parents have continuous access to the educational history of their own child within the access rules defined by the school.

This is one of the most important features of PILOT.

In traditional education, parents often see only final results.

They may see:

a grade;

a report card;

a teacher comment;

a test result.

But they may not see the full learning process behind the result.

PILOT allows parents to understand how their child is developing over time.

Parents may review:

subjects studied;

topics completed;

learning pathways followed;

student responses;

time spent learning;

PILOT evaluations;

teacher evaluations;

teacher confirmations;

double-attestation history;

yearly development progress;

strengths;

areas requiring support.

The educational history begins in Grade 1 and continues until graduation.

This means that parents can observe not only isolated results, but the development of their child across years.

Parents may see whether their child is improving.

They may see whether certain subjects are becoming stronger.

They may see whether certain topics require additional attention.

They may see whether teacher evaluation and PILOT evaluation support each other.

They may see whether a result requires additional review.

Parent access is designed to create understanding, not pressure.

Parents should not use PILOT to pressure teachers.

Parents should use PILOT to understand the educational development of their child.

The system helps reduce emotional conflict because educational discussions can be based on documented learning evidence.

Instead of asking only:

Why did my child receive this grade?

Parents and teachers can ask:

What does the learning history show?

This makes education more transparent and more constructive.

18. Lifelong Cognitive Development Record

PILOT creates a continuous educational timeline.

This timeline can begin in Grade 1 and continue until graduation.

The purpose of this timeline is to record not only what the student achieved, but how the student developed.

Every learning activity may become part of the student's cognitive development record.

The system may store:

questions asked;

learning sessions completed;

learning pathways followed;

student responses;

teacher confirmations;

PILOT evaluations;

double-attestation results;

strengths identified;

weaknesses identified;

improvement trends;

subject growth;

topic growth;

long-term progress.

This record is different from a traditional transcript.

A transcript shows academic results.

A cognitive development record shows the development of understanding.

For example, a transcript may show:

Mathematics: B

Science: A

History: B+

But PILOT may show:

mathematical reasoning improved steadily over three years;

fractions were difficult in Grade 4 but improved by Grade 5;

geometry became a strong area by Grade 6;

independent explanation improved across multiple subjects;

teacher confirmations increased over time;

PILOT pathway completion became more consistent.

This is a more complete picture of education.

It shows not only the final result, but the student's path.

The Lifelong Cognitive Development Record may become one of the most valuable parts of PILOT.

It gives students, parents, teachers, and schools a documented history of intellectual growth.

19. Cognitive Growth Visualization

PILOT should allow parents, teachers, students, and schools to observe development over time.

This can be done through cognitive growth visualization.

The purpose is to make learning development easier to understand.

Instead of viewing only isolated grades, users can observe trends.

Examples of cognitive growth areas may include:

reading comprehension;

mathematical reasoning;

analytical thinking;

problem-solving;

independent learning;

concept explanation;

logical consistency;

response completeness;

learning persistence.

For example, a student's mathematics profile may show:

Grade 3: 61%

Grade 4: 73%

Grade 5: 82%

Grade 6: 91%

This does not simply show grades.

It shows growth.

A parent can see development.

A teacher can see progress.

A student can see improvement.

A school can see long-term educational patterns.

Cognitive growth visualization helps identify both strengths and areas requiring support.

It may show that a student is improving even if grades are not yet high.

It may also show that grades are high while learning-process indicators remain weak.

Both situations are important.

PILOT makes these patterns visible.

This helps schools support students more effectively.

It also helps students understand that education is not only about final grades.

Education is about development.

20. Fairness Through Independent Verification

Educational evaluation is influenced by many factors.

Teachers provide professional educational judgment.

PILOT provides learning-process analytics.

These perspectives are independent.

PILOT does not replace teacher evaluation.

Teachers do not replace PILOT analysis.

The comparison of both perspectives creates a more balanced evaluation environment.

When both systems agree, confidence in the result increases.

When differences appear, additional review is recommended.

This helps reduce the likelihood of:

overestimation;

underestimation;

incomplete evaluation;

hidden learning difficulties;

unnoticed learning strengths.

Overestimation may occur when a student receives a high grade, but the documented learning pathway shows weak participation, skipped steps, unusually fast completion, or limited independent explanation.

Underestimation may occur when a student receives a lower grade, but the documented learning pathway shows strong participation, strong reasoning, consistent responses, and clear independent explanation.

PILOT does not automatically decide that one side is correct.

PILOT identifies the discrepancy.

Then the teacher and school can review the evidence.

This is why Double Attestation matters.

It protects the student.

It supports the teacher.

It informs the parent.

It strengthens the school.

The goal is not to remove human judgment.

The goal is to make human judgment better supported by transparent educational evidence.

21. Methodology of PILOT Evaluation

PILOT does not generate arbitrary scores.

Every PILOT evaluation is based on measurable learning-process indicators collected during the educational pathway.

The purpose of the PILOT score is not to evaluate the student's intelligence, personality, talent, or human value.

The purpose of the PILOT score is to evaluate the quality of the learning process.

This distinction is essential.

A school grade and a PILOT score are not the same thing.

A teacher grade reflects academic judgment.

A PILOT score reflects learning-process evidence.

Both are important.

Both must remain independent.

Together, they create a more complete educational picture.

PILOT evaluation is based on five primary indicators.

1. Learning Path Completion

PILOT records whether the student completed the educational pathway.

This includes:

completed steps;

skipped steps;

unfinished steps;

repeated steps;

completion consistency.

A high completion rate means that the student followed the structured learning path.

A low completion rate means that the student did not fully complete the educational pathway.

This does not automatically mean the student failed.

It means that the teacher may need to review what happened during the learning process.

For example, if a student completes all steps and answers all control questions, PILOT records strong pathway completion.

If a student skips several steps or leaves answers empty, PILOT records weak pathway completion.

2. Response Quality

PILOT analyzes the quality of the student's responses during the learning pathway.

This includes:

relevance of the response;

completeness of the response;

consistency between answers;

evidence of understanding;

ability to connect one step to another.

The goal is not to demand perfect answers at every step.

The goal is to see whether the student is actively engaging with the material.

A student may make mistakes and still show real learning.

A mistake is not automatically negative.

In many cases, mistakes show where learning is happening.

PILOT records these moments so teachers and parents can better understand the student's development.

3. Learning Participation

PILOT evaluates whether the student actively participated in the educational session.

This includes:

answering control questions;

continuing through the pathway;

engaging with explanations;

responding in the student's own words;

remaining active throughout the learning process.

Participation matters because education is not only about receiving information.

Education requires active involvement.

If a student only receives answers without participating, the learning process becomes weak.

PILOT is designed to prevent passive use of Artificial Intelligence.

PILOT encourages active learning.

4. Independent Learning Behavior

PILOT evaluates indicators of independent learning behavior.

This includes:

ability to answer intermediate questions;

ability to explain concepts in the student's own words;

evidence of step-by-step reasoning;

consistency throughout the pathway;

progressive understanding from beginning to end.

The goal is not to punish the use of Artificial Intelligence.

The goal is to ensure that Artificial Intelligence supports the student's thinking rather than replacing it.

A student may use AI safely when the student remains cognitively active.

A student uses AI unsafely when the student simply receives and copies final answers without understanding.

PILOT is designed to guide students toward the first model.

5. Teacher Verification

Teacher verification remains a central part of the PILOT evaluation system.

Teachers contribute professional educational judgment.

Teachers evaluate:

knowledge;

understanding;

oral performance;

written performance;

classroom participation;

academic standards;

curriculum expectations.

Teacher verification remains independent from the PILOT score.

PILOT does not override the teacher.

The teacher does not erase the PILOT record.

Both records are preserved.

The comparison of both records creates Double Attestation.

PILOT Score

The PILOT score reflects the quality of the learning process.

It may include:

pathway completion;

student participation;

response quality;

time spent;

independent explanation;

consistency of learning activity.

The PILOT score should not be interpreted as a final school grade.

It is a learning-process indicator.

Teacher Score

The Teacher score reflects professional academic judgment.

It may include:

tests;

homework;

classroom performance;

oral answers;

written assignments;

course standards;

teacher observation.

The Teacher score and PILOT score may be different.

This difference is not a problem.

It is useful information.

If both scores are close, confidence in the result increases.

If the scores are very different, additional review may be recommended.

Why Two Scores Are Necessary

A student may receive a high teacher grade but show weak learning pathway participation.

In this case, PILOT may recommend additional review.

A student may show strong learning pathway participation but receive a lower teacher evaluation.

In this case, PILOT may suggest that the student's learning history should be reviewed again.

The purpose is not to challenge the teacher.

The purpose is not to challenge the student.

The purpose is to make educational evaluation more transparent, balanced, and evidence-based.

Transparency of Evaluation

Every PILOT result is traceable.

Parents, teachers, students, and school administrators may review the data according to their access rights.

They may see:

completed learning pathways;

student responses;

time spent;

teacher confirmations;

PILOT evaluations;

double-attestation outcomes;

development history.

This means PILOT does not create a hidden algorithmic score.

PILOT creates a reviewable educational record.

Principle of Educational Fairness

PILOT does not assume that teachers are always correct.

PILOT does not assume that algorithms are always correct.

Educational fairness emerges when human professional judgment and transparent learning-process data are reviewed together.

That is why PILOT uses Double Attestation.

The goal is not to eliminate disagreement.

The goal is to provide enough evidence to make educational decisions clearer, fairer, and more balanced.

22. Cognitive Passport

Upon graduation, each student may receive a Cognitive Passport.

The Cognitive Passport is a long-term educational record showing how the student developed over time.

It is not simply a transcript.

It is not simply a report card.

It is not only a list of grades.

It is a documented educational biography.

The Cognitive Passport may include:

academic history;

learning-path history;

subject development history;

topic development history;

teacher verification history;

PILOT evaluation history;

double-attestation history;

strength development areas;

improvement areas;

yearly development trends;

longitudinal learning statistics.

The Cognitive Passport helps show not only what the student achieved, but how the student reached those achievements.

This is important because two students may receive similar grades but have very different educational histories.

One student may develop through steady effort, consistent participation, and growing understanding.

Another student may receive high results while relying heavily on ready-made answers.

A traditional report card may not clearly show this difference.

PILOT can help make this difference visible.

The Cognitive Passport may show:

how reasoning developed;

how independent learning improved;

how teacher confirmations accumulated;

which subjects became stronger;

which topics required support;

how difficulties were addressed;

whether learning became more consistent over time.

The Cognitive Passport can become valuable for:

students;

parents;

teachers;

schools;

colleges;

universities;

future educational institutions;

future employers, depending on policy and consent.

The Cognitive Passport does not replace a diploma.

It supplements the diploma.

A diploma confirms completion.

The Cognitive Passport shows development.

A diploma shows the final academic result.

The Cognitive Passport shows the educational journey.

This creates a new kind of educational record.

It reflects not only the outcome of education, but the growth of the student as a learner.

23. Crystal Transparency Principle

PILOT is based on the Principle of Crystal Transparency.

Crystal Transparency means that the educational process becomes visible, reviewable, and understandable.

It does not mean uncontrolled access to student data.

It does not mean surveillance.

It does not mean replacing human judgment with technology.

It means that important educational interactions are documented in a structured way.

PILOT records:

learning pathways;

student responses;

time spent;

teacher confirmations;

PILOT evaluations;

double-attestation results;

long-term development history.

This creates clarity.

Parents do not have to guess how their child is developing.

Teachers do not have to rely only on isolated assignments or memory.

Students can see their own growth over time.

Schools can observe educational development more accurately.

Crystal Transparency helps replace confusion with evidence.

It helps replace emotional conflict with reviewable data.

It helps replace vague impressions with structured educational history.

For parents, Crystal Transparency means they can better understand their child's development.

For teachers, Crystal Transparency means they have additional evidence to support professional judgment.

For students, Crystal Transparency means progress becomes visible.

For schools, Crystal Transparency means the educational process becomes more accountable and more understandable.

The goal of Crystal Transparency is not control.

The goal is trust.

Transparency creates trust.

Trust creates cooperation.

Cooperation creates better education.

24. Data Privacy and Access Rights

Because PILOT works with student educational records, data privacy must be a central part of the system.

PILOT should be designed with clear access rights.

Not every user should see every record.

Access must depend on role, responsibility, and school policy.

The main user roles may include:

student;

parent;

teacher;

school administrator;

PILOT system administrator.

Student Access

Students may view their own learning pathways, responses, progress, and development history according to school rules.

Students should be able to understand their progress.

They should see where they are improving.

They should also see where support is needed.

The system should help students grow rather than make them afraid of mistakes.

Parent Access

Parents may view the educational history of their own child.

Parents should not be able to change student records.

Parents should not be able to change teacher evaluations.

Parents should not be able to change PILOT evaluations.

Parent access is for understanding, not control.

The purpose is to help parents support their child's development.

Teacher Access

Teachers may view records for students assigned to them.

Teachers may review learning pathways, student responses, PILOT results, and double-attestation outcomes.

Teachers may confirm or not confirm student knowledge.

Teachers may add professional comments.

Teachers remain the academic authority within the educational process.

School Administrator Access

School administrators may view school-level data according to policy.

They may manage student accounts, teacher accounts, school settings, and data storage.

They may review general educational trends.

They should not misuse student data.

The purpose of administrative access is educational management, not unnecessary surveillance.

PILOT System Administrator Access

The system administrator may manage installation, maintenance, backups, technical configuration, security, and updates.

The system administrator should not interfere with academic judgment.

Technical administration and educational evaluation must remain separate.

School District Access

School District administrators may access educational information according to district policies, educational regulations, and student privacy requirements.

The purpose of School District access is not to monitor individual students unnecessarily.

The purpose is to support educational quality, program implementation, long-term development analysis, and strategic educational planning across multiple schools.

School District personnel may review:

district-wide educational trends;

aggregated learning statistics;

school implementation reports;

educational performance indicators;

cognitive development trends;

double-attestation statistics;

school comparison reports;

educational improvement opportunities.

Whenever possible, district-level analysis should be based on aggregated or anonymized information rather than individual student data.

Access to individual student records should be limited to situations specifically authorized by district policy, educational regulations, parental rights requirements, and applicable privacy laws.

School District access must always remain consistent with the core principles of PILOT:

student protection;

data privacy;

educational fairness;

institutional transparency;

responsible use of educational information.

The goal of School District access is to improve educational outcomes across schools while preserving the confidentiality and dignity of every learner.

Local Data Storage

PILOT may be deployed on a local school server or dedicated mini-PC.

This allows the school to maintain control over its educational data.

A local storage model may include:

student profiles;

learning history;

teacher reports;

parent-view summaries;

double-attestation records;

graduation cognitive records;

backup copies.

The school should define how long records are stored, how they are protected, and who may access them.

Data Protection Principle

PILOT should follow a strict data protection principle:

Only necessary data should be collected.

Only authorized users should access the data.

Only appropriate roles should modify the data.

Every important educational action should be traceable.

Student records should be protected from unauthorized access.

Before real school deployment, PILOT should be reviewed for compliance with applicable student privacy laws and school policies.

In the United States, educational deployment may require legal review related to student privacy, parental access, data retention, and child online privacy.

PILOT must be implemented responsibly.

Educational transparency must always be balanced with student privacy.

25. Why Students Benefit from PILOT

PILOT is not only for parents, teachers, and schools.

PILOT must also benefit students.

Students should not experience PILOT as a punishment system.

Students should not experience PILOT as surveillance.

Students should experience PILOT as a guided learning environment.

PILOT helps students understand how they learn.

It shows where they are strong.

It shows where they need support.

It records progress over time.

PILOT does not punish mistakes.

Mistakes are part of learning.

A mistake inside PILOT can help identify where support is needed.

The system values:

effort;

progress;

participation;

reasoning;

understanding;

independent explanation;

growth over time.

The goal is not to create perfect students.

The goal is to help students become independent thinkers.

A student who struggles but improves should be able to show that improvement.

A student who makes mistakes but learns from them should have that development recorded.

A student who becomes stronger over time should have that growth visible.

This is one of the most important educational values of PILOT.

PILOT helps students see that education is not only about final grades.

Education is also about development.

26. Protection Against Overestimation

One of the important functions of PILOT is protection against overestimation.

Overestimation may occur when a student receives a high grade, but the learning-process evidence does not support deep understanding.

This may happen for different reasons.

A student may memorize a response.

A student may copy an answer.

A student may use Artificial Intelligence directly.

A student may submit a polished result without understanding the path to that result.

PILOT does not accuse the student.

PILOT does not automatically claim dishonesty.

PILOT simply records the learning pathway and identifies possible inconsistency.

For example:

Teacher grade: 10/10

PILOT pathway completion: 42%

Student skipped several steps.

Student gave very short answers.

Student did not explain the conclusion in their own words.

In this case, PILOT may recommend additional verification.

This helps the teacher and school avoid confirming knowledge too quickly.

It also helps parents understand that a high grade does not always mean deep understanding.

The goal is not to lower student grades.

The goal is to make sure that the grade reflects real knowledge.

27. Protection Against Underestimation

PILOT also protects against underestimation.

Underestimation may occur when a student receives a lower grade, but the learning-process evidence shows strong understanding and strong effort.

This may happen when a student performs poorly on one test, feels nervous during oral response, or does not express knowledge well in a traditional format.

PILOT can show a broader learning history.

For example:

Teacher grade: 6/10

PILOT pathway completion: 94%

Student completed all steps.

Student gave relevant answers.

Student explained the conclusion in their own words.

Student showed consistent improvement across several sessions.

In this case, PILOT may recommend review for possible underestimation.

This does not mean the teacher is wrong.

It means that additional evidence should be considered.

PILOT helps reveal strengths that may not be visible through one test or one classroom moment.

This protects students whose development may otherwise be overlooked.

It also gives teachers more information before making final academic decisions.

28. Conclusion for Parents, Teachers, and Educational Institutions

PILOT was created to strengthen education in the age of Artificial Intelligence.

Its purpose is not to replace teachers.

Its purpose is not to replace schools.

Its purpose is not to replace parents.

Its purpose is not to reject Artificial Intelligence.

PILOT exists to make Artificial Intelligence educationally safe, transparent, and development-oriented.

Today, parents often see only final results:

report cards;

test scores;

semester grades;

annual grades.

But many important questions remain unanswered.

Does the student truly understand the material?

How much independent work was performed?

Which topics are difficult?

Which skills are developing?

Is the student improving over time?

What role did Artificial Intelligence play?

PILOT helps answer these questions.

PILOT allows parents to see not only grades, but the learning history behind the grades.

PILOT allows teachers to see not only final answers, but the path students followed.

PILOT allows schools to create a transparent educational memory.

PILOT allows students to see their own growth.

The educational history begins in Grade 1 and continues until graduation.

This creates a long-term record of development.

Parents can see how their child grows year by year.

Teachers can see how understanding develops.

Schools can see where support is needed.

Students can see their own progress.

PILOT transforms education from a record of results into a record of development. For the first time, schools, teachers, parents, and students can observe not only what was learned, but how learning occurred throughout the entire educational journey

Traditional education answers the question:

What grade did the student receive?

PILOT adds another question:

How did the student reach that result?

This second question is essential in the age of Artificial Intelligence.

Because in the future, receiving an answer will become easier.

But understanding the answer will become more important than ever.

PILOT protects this understanding.

PILOT protects the student's ability to think.

PILOT supports teachers with evidence.

PILOT gives parents clarity.

PILOT gives schools transparency.

PILOT gives students a documented history of growth.

The final vision of PILOT is that every graduate leaves school not only with grades and certificates, but also with a transparent educational history showing how knowledge was acquired, how thinking developed, and how intellectual growth occurred throughout the years of learning.

PILOT does not replace the old educational system.

PILOT completes it.

PILOT does not take authority away from teachers.

PILOT supports their professional judgment.

PILOT does not create conflict with parents.

PILOT creates transparency and trust.

PILOT does not make Artificial Intelligence dangerous for learning.

PILOT turns Artificial Intelligence into a tool for human cognitive development.

The central idea remains:

Artificial Intelligence gives answers.

PILOT teaches the path to understanding.

PILOT was created as part of a broader mission to preserve human cognitive autonomy in the age of Artificial Intelligence and to contribute to the cognitive security of humanity for present and future generations.

The system is designed to ensure that Artificial Intelligence strengthens human understanding rather than replacing it, and that future generations retain the capacity to think, reason, evaluate, and learn independently

Author

Dr. Erik Grig

Founder, Glendale Institute for AI Safety and Cognitive Development

Creator of the **PILOT** Educational Cognitive Safety System

Author of the **TRIGEMMA** Metascientific Paradigm

Founder of the **TRIGEMMA Homo Cosmic** Institute of Armenia

Creator of **VibroScience and Pineal-369 Interface Theory**

Architect of a new **vibrational-cognitive** educational paradigm

Foundational Concepts Associated with the Author's Research

TRIGEMMA

Trigemmal Linguistics (**Vibropathy**)

VibroScience

Pineal-369 Interface Theory

Formula: **3 6 9 → 0 ← 7 → 20+20 = ∞**